1	Zeke Layman	
2	P.O. Box 3662 Chico, California 95927	piled Asheville, N.C.
3	(530) 343-7068 zeke@powerpolitics.com	MAY -5 2009
4	In Pro Per	U.S. DISTRICT COURT W. DIST. OF FLC.
5		··· saut. Of M.C.
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA	
10	ASHEVILLE DIVISION	
11	1:	:08cv230
12		
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	)
14	Plaintiff,	
15	Vs.	OBJECTION TO MEMORANDUM AND
16	3039.375 POUNDS OF COPPER	) RECOMMENDATION
17	COINS, ET AL.,	
18	Defendants,	
19	Zeke Layman,	
20	Claimant	) )
21		
22	NOW COMES Zeke Layman, and OBJECTS to Magistrate Dennis L. Howell's	
23	Memorandum and Recommendation that Zeke Layman's Motion to Dismiss "be summarily	
24	denied for lack of standing", on the ground that Zeke Layman is a claimant in this case.	
25	Zeke Layman has standing in this case as shown by his "Claim by Zeke Layman" filed	
26	April 27, 2009 as document 45.	
27	Further, Zeke Layman OBJECTS to the Magistrate's Recommendation that "a system of	
28	pre-filing review be imposed on Mr. Layman", because he has not filed any frivolous papers or	

has shown any vexatious conduct that would support such an injunction upon him. A brief review of Magistrate Howell's order of 4/22/2009, document 43, shows that "Mr. Layman was cautioned that by continuing to file ex parte pleadings, he is disobeying the instructions of this court and that further disobedience may result in the imposition of sanctions,...." Mr Layman took this "caution" to heart as well as the advice to follow Rule G(5)(a), and Rule G(5)(b), and he followed these directions by filing his "Claim by Zeke Layman" under Rule G(5)(a)(i), and the "Motion to Dismiss" under Rule G(5)(b), both on 4/27/2009. Mr. Layman has not and does not intend to make any frivolous filings or do anything that would hinder the court from fulfilling it constitutional duty. WHEREFORE, claimant Zeke Layman, respectfully request that this Court REJECT the Memorandum and Recommendation of Magistrate Dennis L. Howell and find that Zeke Layman DOES have the standing to make the Motion to Dismiss under Rule 12 b; hear and GRANT the Motion to Dismiss on the grounds that the Plaintiff has failed to state a claim upon which the court can grant relief, and order the property returned. Date: May 4, 2007 Respectfully Submitted, 

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAIL	
2	The undersigned hereby certifies that he is over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within	
3	entitled cause of action; and	
4	That on May 1, 2009 he did serve a copy of the attached <b>OBJECTION TO</b>	
5	MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION by placing said copy in a post paid envelope	
6	addressed to the person(s) hereafter named, at the place(s) and address(es) stated below, which	
7	is the last known address(es), and by depositing said envelope and contents in the United States	
8	Mail at Chico, California.	
9		
10	a total of pages delivered to the address as follows:	
11		
12	THOMAS R. ASCIK ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY John Robert Seymour Baucom Claytor Benton Morgan & Wood	
13	100 Otis Street P.O. Box 35246	
14	Asheville, North Carolina 28801 Charlotte, NC 28235	
15		
16		
17	Further, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that these	
18	documents were executed and mailed as above described within California on May 4, 2009.	
19		
20		
21	Dated: 5 1 4 1 2009 Ruth Koff	
22	Decarant U	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

fedex.com 1800.GoFedEx 1800.463.3339 025h 265T 9698 Direct Signature
Server a recentle
deleta per pilot.
deleta per pilot. emp# 1797962 04M9Y09 10:19 0200

Samuel of the state of the stat Federal Tube

fedex.com 1800.GoFedEx 1800.463.3339

@ 2005 FedEx 155476/155475 REV 9/05 RT

520

PRIORITY OVERNIGHT